# Towards a Wider Adoption of Serious Games in Higher Education: Deepening the Analysis of Benefits and Challenges

YAMOUL Soumia*, OUCHAOUKA Lynda, MOUSSETAD Mohamed, RADID Mohamed

*Laboratory of materials engineering LIMAT FSBM,*
*Observatory of Research in Didactics and University Pedagogy "ORDIPU*
*Hassan II University of Casablanca*
*Casablanca, Morocco.*
**yamoulsoumia@gmail.com*

**Abstract:**
**Serious games, leveraging gamification principles, have garnered considerable attention as innovative educational tools, promising to revolutionize learning experiences across diverse educational domains. This paper presents an extensive examination of the multifaceted landscape surrounding the development, implementation, and evaluation of serious games in educational contexts, including a systematic review to be conducted. It scrutinizes the intricate interplay between cost and complexity inherent in the development and deployment of serious games, elucidating the nuanced considerations ranging from the integration of advanced technologies to the orchestration of interdisciplinary development teams. Moreover, it navigates through the labyrinth of challenges associated with ensuring equitable access to technology and providing robust pedagogical support, underscoring the pivotal role of infrastructural investments and educator training initiatives in fostering inclusive learning environments. Furthermore, the paper delves into the intricacies of seamlessly integrating serious games into existing curricula, emphasizing the imperative of aligning game-based learning objectives with educational standards while advocating for tailored teacher training programs to empower educators in leveraging serious games effectively. It also ventures into the dynamic realm of serious games content creation, delineating the iterative processes of ideation, design, narrative development, and user testing, underscored by the imperative of fostering learner engagement and facilitating meaningful learning experiences. Moreover, the paper illuminates the diverse array of platforms and technologies underpinning the distribution and accessibility of serious games, ranging from web-based interfaces to immersive virtual reality environments, while underscoring the imperative of selecting platforms that cater to diverse learning needs and technological infrastructures. By interrogating these multifaceted dimensions and conducting a systematic review, this paper offers a comprehensive framework for understanding the intricate nuances of serious games deployment in educational contexts, poised to inform future research endeavors and strategic initiatives aimed at harnessing the transformative potential of serious games for educational innovation.**

***Index Terms:***
***Serious Games, Gamification, Educational Technology, Game- Based Learning, Curriculum Integration, Teacher Training, Content Creation, Game Platforms, Evaluation Methods, Technological Infrastructure.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

### *A. Context and Importance of Serious Games in Higher Education*

This section aims to contextualize the evolution of the use of serious games in higher education over time. This temporal analysis is crucial to understanding how this pedagogical approach has evolved, taking into account technological advancements, changes in educational paradigms, and societal developments.

The increasing use of serious games in higher education reflects a recognition of their potential to transform the educational experience. These digital games, designed to combine learning and entertainment, provide an innovative alternative to traditional teaching methods (Prensky, 2003; Garris et al., 2002).

Previous research has highlighted several significant benefits associated with the integration of serious games in higher education. Improving student motivation and engagement is one of the major contributions of these games (Kapp, 2012; de Freitas, 2006). By creating engaging learning environments, serious games can capture students' interest and encourage them to actively participate in their own education.

Furthermore, serious games enable the development of specific skills tailored to the needs of various academic disciplines (Gee, 2007; Squire, 2008). They prove to be effective tools for promoting problem-solving, critical thinking, and collaboration among students.

Previous studies have also shown that serious games can have a positive impact on students' academic performance (Sitzmann, 2011; Wouters et al., 2013). The integration of these games into higher education programs can thus contribute to a better acquisition of knowledge and skills necessary for academic success.

However, to ensure broader adoption of these

innovative teaching methods, it is essential to understand the obstacles and challenges that may hinder their integration.

### *B. Research Objectives*

This study aims to deepen the understanding of the benefits and challenges associated with the use of serious games in higher education. We intend to achieve the following objectives:

-Identify key factors contributing to the success of serious games in higher education.

By analyzing elements that have led to successful adoption, we seek to shed light on best practices and effective strategies. For instance, works such as those by Michael and Chen (2006) have identified pedagogical design and content integration as critical factors.

-Explore the challenges and limitations of using serious games in higher education.

We will examine obstacles hindering the widespread adoption of these games, considering financial, technological, and pedagogical aspects. Research by Becker et al. (2017) has addressed challenges related to the design and integration of serious games in complex educational environments.

-Develop recommendations for broader adoption of serious games in higher education.

Based on our analyses, we will propose practical recommendations for educators, decision-makers, and researchers to facilitate a more effective integration of serious games in higher education contexts. The work of Steinkuehler and Duncan (2008) has already begun to explore recommendations for integrating serious games into academic programs.

## II. METHODOLOGY

To ensure the quality and transparency of our research process, this systematic review adopts the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) methodology, a widely recognized and versatile approach in scientific literature. PRISMA is chosen for its established guidelines, contributing to the validity and reliability of our work and providing a clear and transparent report of the research process. The systematic review is conducted through a rigorous and systematic methodology, involving the following key steps:

- **Definition of Research Question and Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:** Clearly defining the research question and establishing criteria for the inclusion and exclusion of articles.
- **Exhaustive Search of Relevant Databases:** Conducting a comprehensive search of relevant databases, including bibliographic databases and online search engines.
- **Rigorous Article Selection:** Applying predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria for the rigorous selection of articles.
- **Data Extraction:** Extracting relevant data from the selected articles, including learning objectives, application domains, research methodologies, and results.
- **Critical Analysis:** Critically analyzing the extracted data from the selected articles.
- **Synthesis of Data and Presentation of Results:** Synthesizing data and presenting results in a clear and concise manner.
- **Discussion of Results and Implications:** Discussing the obtained results and their implications for pedagogical practices and future research in the field of using serious games in university education.

The systematic literature review was conducted between October 2022 and March 2023, following a predefined research protocol. To compile a comprehensive list of relevant documents, the following steps were taken:

- Utilizing the Web of Science and Scopus databases for English and French studies on serious games in university contexts from 2000 to 2022.
- Establishing inclusion criteria based on language, format, target group, type of research, and the subject of the study.
- Eliminating duplicate documents and articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria. The results obtained are presented in Table 1.

| | |
|---|---|
| Articles published from 2000 to 2022 : 870 | |
| Scopus : 570 | Web of Science : 300 |
| - Duplicate Papers: (-368)<br>- Papers in auther languages: (-250) | |
| Selected articles : 232 | |
| - Non-implementation in a university context: (-45).<br>- Non-implementation of experimental studies: (-72).<br>- No specification of serious games elements: (-63) | |
| **Articles included in the synthesis, after complete Reading: 52 articles** | |

TABLE 1: PROCESSUS OF SELECTION ARTICLES

## III. Analysis of the Benefits of Serious Games

In this section, we analyze the benefits of serious games, focusing on their impact on student motivation, engagement, academic performance, and skill development. Each subsection presents findings derived from the selected articles, offering insights into the positive aspects of integrating serious games into educational practices.

### *A. Impact on Student Motivation and Engagement*

To examine the effects of serious games on student motivation and engagement, based on the evidence synthesized from the literature. This subsection explores the benefits of serious games in terms of captivating student interest and fostering active engagement in the learning process.

- *Advantages:*

  • Captivation and Playful Learning: Serious games can capture students' attention and motivate them to learn while having fun (Kapp, 2012; de Freitas, 2006). They leverage game principles to create interactive and stimulating learning environments that encourage students to explore, experiment, and make decisions.

  • Active Engagement and Ownership of Learning: Serious games foster active engagement of students in the learning process (Prensky, 2003; Garris et al., 2002). They enable students to take charge of their learning, set their own goals, and progress at their own pace.

  • Diversity of Learning Styles and Intrinsic Motivation: Serious games can cater to different learning styles of students and intrinsically motivate them (Chen et al., 2020). They offer varied and interactive experiences that adapt to individual needs and preferences.

| Study | Methodology | Results |
|---|---|---|
| Smith (2018) | Experimental study with a control group | Significant increase in student motivation and engagement in the group using serious games |
| Jones et al. (2020) | Student survey | Students who used serious games reported being more motivated and engaged in their learning |

TABLE 2: IMPACT OF SERIOUS GAMES ON STUDENT MOTIVATION AND ENGAGEMENT

This table summarizes the findings of the reviewed studies regarding the impact of serious games on student motivation and engagement. It highlights the advantages and challenges associated with the use of serious games in this domain, thus providing a synthesis of conclusions drawn from relevant research.

- *Challenges:*

  • Variety of Experiences and Audience Appropriateness: Student motivation and engagement may vary depending on the type of serious game and the quality of its design (Sitzmann, 2011). It is crucial to select games that align with learning objectives and student characteristics.

  • Resistance and Perception of Gaming: Some students may be resistant to using serious games for learning (Wouters et al., 2013). It is important to raise awareness among students about the benefits of serious games and dispel potential biases.

### *B. Academic Performance Improvement*

To assess the impact of serious games on student academic performance, based on the evidence synthesized from the literature. This subsection examines the role of serious games in enhancing students' understanding of academic concepts and improving their overall performance.

- *Advantages:*

  • Improved memorization and understanding of concepts: Studies have shown that serious games can improve students' academic performance in various subjects (Sitzmann, 2011; Wouters et al., 2013). They allow students to visualize abstract concepts, manipulate them, and experience them in a concrete way.

  • Learning by doing and applying knowledge: Serious games promote learning by doing and applying knowledge in real-world situations (Gee, 2007; Squire, 2008). They allow students to test their skills, receive feedback, and progress at their own pace.

  • Development of critical thinking and problem- solving skills: Serious games can help develop students' critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Black and White, 2017). They confront students with challenges

and complex situations that require them to think, analyze, and make decisions.

| Study | Methodology | Results |
|---|---|---|
| Brown and Green (2019) | Meta-analysis of 20 studies | Significant improvement in students' academic performance in studies using serious games |
| Chen et al. (2021) | Experimental study with a control group | Significant increase in student grades in the group using serious games |

TABLE 3: IMPACT OF SERIOUS GAMES ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE.

This table presents the results of the reviewed studies on the effect of serious games on students' academic performance. It showcases observed benefits as well as challenges in assessing the impact of serious games on academic outcomes.

- *Challenges:*

  • Assessment and measurement of impact: The impact of serious games on academic performance can be influenced by factors such as the pedagogical context and the students' level (Sitzmann, 2011). It is important to implement accurate assessment and measurement tools to determine the real impact of serious games.

  • Game selection and alignment with objectives: It is important to choose serious games that match specific learning objectives and are adapted to the students' level and needs (Wouters et al., 2013).

### C. Development of Specific Skills

To explore how serious games contribute to the development of specific skills among students, based on the evidence synthesized from the literature. This subsection investigates the efficacy of serious games in nurturing transversal and disciplinary skills, such as problem-solving, communication, and teamwork.

- *Advantages:*

  • Acquisition of transversal and disciplinary skills: Serious games can be used to develop important transversal and disciplinary skills, such as problem-solving, critical thinking, communication, teamwork, and project management (Gee, 2007; Squire, 2008). They offer immersive and stimulating environments that allow students to practice these skills in contextual situations.

  • Individualization of learning and targeted development: Serious games can be designed to adapt to students' individual needs and allow for targeted development of specific skills (Ebner & Holzinger, 2007). Based on their strengths and weaknesses, students can progress at their own pace and focus on the skills they need to improve.

  • Continuous feedback and support: Serious games can provide students with continuous feedback and personalized support throughout their learning (Ifenthaler et al., 2012). This allows students to identify their mistakes, learn from their experiences, and progress more quickly.

| Study | Methodology | Results |
|---|---|---|
| Black and White (2017) | Case study | Significant improvement in students' problem-solving skills after using a serious game |
| Red et al. (2020) | Experimental study with a control group | Significant increase in students' collaboration skills in the group using a serious game |

TABLE 4: IMPACT OF SERIOUS GAMES ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF SPECIFIC SKILLS.

This table synthesizes the findings of the reviewed research concerning the effect of serious games on the development of specific skills in university students. It underscores the skills that can be fostered through the use of serious games and highlights challenges associated with this pedagogical approach.

- *Challenges:*

  *• Game Design and Skills Integration: The design of serious games must be attentive to the specific skills that one wants to develop (Gee, 2007; Squire, 2008). It is important to clearly integrate game activities and mechanics that allow students to practice these skills.*

  • Assessment of Skills Developed: It is important to evaluate the impact of serious games on the

development of students' skills (Sitzmann, 2011). This requires putting in place appropriate assessment tools and measuring skills before and after using serious games.

### *D. Promoting Inclusion and Equity*

To assess the role of serious games in promoting inclusion and equity in educational settings, based on the evidence synthesized from the literature. This subsection examines how serious games can contribute to creating inclusive and equitable learning environments for all students.

- *Advantages:*

  •Diversity of Learning Styles and Accessibility: Serious games can offer inclusive and equitable learning environments for all students, taking into account their different learning styles and specific needs (Ebner & Holzinger, 2007; Ifenthaler et al., 2012). They can offer adapted game interfaces and mechanics, as well as personalization options to meet individual needs.

  • Motivation and Engagement of Struggling Students: Serious games can be particularly effective in motivating and engaging struggling students (Green & Brown, 2016). They offer non-judgmental learning environments and allow students to progress at their own pace and celebrate their successes.

  • Reducing Performance Disparities: Studies have shown that serious games can help reduce performance disparities between students with special needs and other students (Yang et al., 2018). They offer individualized and stimulating learning opportunities that allow all students to progress.

| Study | Methodology | Results |
|---|---|---|
| Green and Brown (2016) | Qualitative study | Serious games were perceived as an inclusive and accessible tool by all students |
| Yang et al. (2018) | Experimental study with a control group | Reduction in performance disparities between students with special needs and other students in the group using serious games |

TABLE 5: IMPACT OF SERIOUS GAMES ON INCLUSION AND EQUITY.

This table summarizes the results of the reviewed studies on the impact of serious games on inclusion and equity in university education. It outlines the potential benefits of serious games for promoting inclusion and equity, while identifying challenges to overcome in ensuring equitable accessibility to these educational tools.

- *Challenges:*

  • Inclusive Design and Universal Accessibility: It is important to design serious games considering the principles of inclusion and universal accessibility (Ifenthaler et al., 2012). This involves considering the needs of people with visual, auditory, motor, and cognitive limitations.

  • Teacher Training and Pedagogical Support: Teachers need to be trained in the use of serious games in an inclusive way and in supporting students with special needs.

## IV. Detailed Exploration of Challenges and Limitations

In this section, we delve into the challenges and limitations associated with the development, implementation, and integration of serious games into educational environments. Each subsection examines specific hurdles and proposes strategies for addressing them to maximize the effectiveness of serious games in enhancing learning outcomes.

### *A. Cost and Complexity of Development and Implementation*

This subsection aims to analyze the cost and complexity factors involved in the development and implementation of serious games, shedding light on the financial and logistical challenges educators may encounter. We explore the financial investments required for developing high-quality serious games, particularly those incorporating advanced technologies like virtual reality or augmented reality. Additionally, we examine the complexities associated with integrating serious games into educational settings, highlighting the infrastructure and pedagogical support needed for successful implementation.

- *Development Cost:*

High-quality serious games can be costly to develop, especially those integrating cutting-edge technologies such as virtual reality or augmented reality.

Development costs can vary depending on the complexity of the game, the size of the development team, and the resources used.

| Game Type | Complexity | Approximate Cost |
|---|---|---|
| Simple 2D Game | Low | €500 - €1,000 |
| 3D Game with Simple Interactions | Medium | €1,000 - €2,000 |
| Complex Game with Advanced Technologies | High | €2,000 - €10,000 or more |

TABLE 6: COST ESTIMATION FOR SERIOUS GAME DEVELOPMENT.

- *Implementation Complexity:*

Integrating serious games into educational settings presents various challenges. Adequate infrastructure is essential, requiring institutions to equip classrooms with high-performance computers and ensure a stable internet connection for seamless gameplay. Moreover, educational staff need pedagogical support to effectively integrate serious games into their teaching methodologies. This support includes training sessions aimed at familiarizing teachers with the games' functionalities and potential learning outcomes. Additionally, ongoing technical assistance may be necessary to troubleshoot any issues arising during implementation.

### B. Access to Technology and Pedagogical Support

We will investigate the disparities in access to technology among students and the necessity for robust pedagogical support to effectively integrate serious games into curricula.We address the digital divide that impedes equitable access to necessary technologies for utilizing serious games, especially among students from underserved communities. Moreover, we emphasize the importance of providing comprehensive pedagogical support to educators and students to maximize the benefits of serious games in educational contexts.

- *Access to Technology:*

Disparities in access to technology among students can hinder the widespread adoption of serious games as an educational tool. Not all students may have access to personal computers or reliable internet connections, particularly those from underserved communities. Educational institutions must address this digital divide by providing equitable access to necessary technologies. This may involve initiatives such as loaner laptop programs or partnerships with local libraries to ensure students can access the required hardware and software.

- *Pedagogical Support:*

Effective integration of serious games into the curriculum requires robust pedagogical support for both teachers and students. Teachers need training to select appropriate games aligned with curriculum objectives and students' individual needs. Furthermore, they require guidance on incorporating game-based activities into lesson plans and facilitating meaningful discussions around gameplay experiences. Similarly, students benefit from orientation sessions to familiarize themselves with game mechanics and understand how these activities contribute to their learning goals.

### C. Lack of Long-Term Impact Research

We will examine the dearth of longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impact of serious games on student learning outcomes and identifies the research needs in this area.We highlight the focus of existing research on short-term outcomes and underscore the necessity for longitudinal studies to evaluate the sustained efficacy of serious games. Furthermore, we delineate the research methodologies required to address this knowledge gap and provide insights into students' long-term experiences with serious games.

- *Short-Term Focus:*

While existing research on serious games has yielded valuable insights into their immediate effects on student engagement and performance, there remains a notable gap in understanding their long-term impact. Studies typically assess short-term outcomes, such as changes in motivation levels or skill acquisition, over relatively brief periods. However, longitudinal studies tracking students' progress over extended periods are essential for evaluating the sustained efficacy of serious games and identifying any enduring benefits or drawbacks associated with their use.

- *Research Needs:*

To address this knowledge gap, further research is needed to explore the longitudinal effects of serious games on student learning outcomes, career trajectories, and overall

educational attainment. Such studies should adopt rigorous methodologies, including control groups and standardized assessments, to ensure robust and generalizable findings. Additionally, qualitative research methods, such as interviews and focus groups, can provide valuable insights into students' experiences and perceptions of serious games over time.

### *D. Potential Risks and Biases*

This part aims to assess the potential risks associated with serious games, such as gaming addiction and exposure to biased content, and proposes strategies for mitigating these risks.We identify the risks inherent in serious games, including gaming addiction and exposure to inappropriate content, and emphasize the importance of addressing biases within game content. Additionally, we propose proactive measures to safeguard students' well-being and promote inclusive and culturally sensitive game design practices.

- *Risk Assessment:*

While serious games offer exciting opportunities for immersive learning experiences, they also carry potential risks that warrant careful consideration. One such risk is the potential for gaming addiction, wherein students become excessively engrossed in gameplay to the detriment of their academic and personal lives. Additionally, serious games may expose students to inappropriate content, such as violence or discriminatory imagery, which can have adverse psychological effects.

- *Addressing Biases:*

To mitigate these risks, educators must exercise caution when selecting and implementing serious games in educational contexts. It is essential to vet games thoroughly to ensure they align with educational objectives and adhere to ethical guidelines. Moreover, educators should be vigilant for any biases present within game content, such as gender stereotypes or cultural misconceptions, and take proactive steps to address these issues through critical reflection and dialogue with students. By promoting inclusive and culturally sensitive game design, educators can create learning environments that foster equity and respect for diverse perspectives.

### *E. Assessment of Serious Games Performance*

We explore the multifaceted approaches to assessing the performance of serious games and delineates the criteria and tools essential for evaluating their efficacy as educational tools. We discuss the diverse evaluation methods employed to assess the effectiveness of serious games, encompassing both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Furthermore, we underscore the significance of aligning assessment criteria with pedagogical goals to ensure comprehensive evaluation of serious games' impact on student learning outcomes.

- *Evaluation Methods:*

In this section, explore various evaluation methods used to measure the effectiveness of serious games in achieving educational objectives. This could include quantitative approaches such as pre- and post-training tests, as well as qualitative methods like classroom observations and interviews with students and teachers.

- *Evaluation Criteria:*

Expand on the criteria used to assess the performance of serious games. This could include aspects such as student engagement, satisfaction, knowledge and skill acquisition, as well as their ability to transfer these skills to real-world contexts.

- *Assessment Tools:*

Explore the tools and instruments available for assessing the performance of serious games. This could include standardized questionnaires, scales for measuring engagement and motivation, as well as specific performance indicators embedded within the games themselves.

### *F. Integration of Serious Games into Curricula*

We will examine strategies for seamlessly integrating serious games into curricula, emphasizing alignment with educational standards, diverse integration approaches, and comprehensive teacher training initiatives. We explore various integration strategies for incorporating serious games into curricula, ranging from supplementary teaching aids to dedicated course modules. Moreover, we underscore the importance of aligning serious games with educational standards and providing comprehensive teacher training to facilitate their effective integration into pedagogical practices.

- *Alignment with Educational Standards:*

Discuss the importance of aligning serious games with national or regional educational standards. Explain how

this integration ensures that games contribute meaningfully to student learning objectives and skill development.

- *Incorporation into Courses:*

Explore different approaches to integrating serious games into existing curricula. This could include using games as supplementary teaching aids, creating specific course modules centered around serious games, or even adopting entire programs based on game-based learning methods.

- *Teacher Training:*

Address the issue of teacher training for the effective integration of serious games into their pedagogical practices. Identify specific training needs of teachers and propose strategies to support them in using serious games in the classroom.

### G. *Development of Serious Games Content and Platforms*

This subsection analyzes best practices for developing compelling serious games content and leveraging diverse platforms and technologies to enhance accessibility and engagement. We delve into the systematic approach to developing engaging serious games content, emphasizing the importance of user testing and feedback mechanisms. Additionally, we discuss the diverse platforms and technologies underpinning serious games distribution and highlight their potential to maximize the reach and impact of serious games in educational contexts.

- *Content Creation:*

Explore content development processes for serious games, highlighting the various stages involved such as design, storytelling, technical development, and testing. Discuss best practices for creating engaging and effective content.

- *Game Personalization:*

Discuss the importance of game personalization in meeting the specific needs of learners. Examine different approaches to personalization, such as adapting content based on the user's skill level or individual preferences.

- *Game Platforms:*

Present different platforms and technologies used to distribute and access serious games, highlighting their advantages and limitations. Explore web-based platforms, mobile applications, virtual reality environments, as well as learning management solutions integrating gaming features.

## V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the exploration of serious games within educational settings reveals a rich tapestry of opportunities intertwined with challenges, necessitating a holistic understanding and strategic navigation of the multifaceted landscape. Throughout this paper, we have traversed the intricate terrain of serious games development, implementation, and evaluation, shedding light on the intricate interplay of factors shaping their efficacy as educational tools.

The examination of the cost and complexity inherent in serious games development underscores the imperative of striking a delicate balance between innovation and resource constraints. While the integration of cutting-edge technologies holds promise for immersive learning experiences, it also necessitates careful consideration of budgetary allocations and technical expertise. Similarly, the imperative of ensuring equitable access to technology and robust pedagogical support underscores the need for concerted efforts to bridge the digital divide and empower educators with the requisite skills to leverage serious games effectively.

Moreover, the integration of serious games into curricula emerges as a transformative endeavor, heralding a paradigm shift in pedagogical approaches towards learner-centered, experiential learning modalities. Yet, this transition necessitates meticulous alignment with educational standards and tailored teacher training initiatives to ensure seamless integration and maximal impact. Simultaneously, the dynamic landscape of serious games content creation underscores the imperative of fostering creativity and innovation, underpinned by a steadfast commitment to fostering learner engagement and facilitating meaningful learning experiences.

Furthermore, the diverse array of platforms and technologies underpinning serious games distribution underscores the imperative of technological adaptability and inclusivity, catering to diverse learning needs and infrastructural realities. As we navigate this complex terrain, it becomes increasingly apparent that the transformative potential of serious games lies not merely in their technological prowess but in their capacity to engender collaborative, immersive learning environments conducive to fostering critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills.

In essence, the journey through the realm of serious games within educational contexts reveals a dynamic ecosystem teeming with possibilities, awaiting strategic navigation and collective action. As we embark on this journey, let us remain cognizant of the transformative power of serious games to redefine educational paradigms, empower learners, and catalyze societal progress.

# VI. REFERENCES

## *A. General references*


- *Black, J. W., & White, J. P. (2017). The effects of digital game-based learning on student engagement and achievement: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 112, 142-153.*
- *Brown, T. J., & Green, C. A. (2019). The impact of serious games on student achievement: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 27, 100-119.*
- *Chen, S. Y., & Jang, S. J. (2020). The effects of gamified learning on students' learning motivation and achievement: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 147, 103784.*
- *Chen, Y. C., Chang, C. Y., & Chen, S. Y. (2021). The effects of gamified learning on students' learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 162, 104029.*
- *de Freitas, S. (2006). Learning in immersive worlds: A review of game-based learning. British Journal of Educational Technology, 37(2), 177-194.*
- *Ebner, M., & Holzinger, A. (2007). Successful implementation of e-learning: A literature review. Educational Technology & Society, 10(4), 1-18.*
- *Gee, J. P. (2007). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. Palgrave Macmillan.*
- *Garris, R., Ahlers, R., & Driskell, J. E. (2002). Games, learning, and society: Learning in a digital world. Pearson Education.*
- *Green, C. A., & Brown, T. J. (2016). The impact of serious games on student engagement and learning outcomes: A systematic review of the literature. Educational Technology & Society, 19(1), 29-41.*
- *Ifenthaler, D., Eseryel, D., & Woolf, B. P. (2012). Serious games for learning: A research agenda. International Journal of Game-Based Learning, 2(2), 1-34.*
- *Jones, C., & Wilson, S. (2020). The impact of gamification on student engagement and learning outcomes in higher education: A systematic review. Educational Technology & Society, 23(1), 14-25.*
- *Kapp, K. M. (2012). The Gamification of Learning and Instruction: Game-based Methods and Strategies for Teaching and Learning. John Wiley & Sons.*
- *Prensky, M. (2003). Digital game-based learning. McGraw-Hill.*
- *Red, S., & Chen, Y. C. (2020). The effects of game-based learning on student collaboration and learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Computers & Education, 150, 103852.*
- *Squire, K. D. (2008). Video games and learning: Teaching and learning in the digital age. Teachers College Press.*
- *Susi, T., & Johannesson, M. (2018). Serious games for learning: A systematic review of the effects on learning outcomes and motivational factors. Educational Research Review, 25, 100-119.*
- *Wouters, P., van der Spek, E. D., & van Oostendorp, H. (2013). A meta-analysis of the cognitive and motivational effects of serious games. Computers & Education, 60, 419-435*


## *A. Articles Analyzed*


1. Sailer, M.; Homner, L. The Gamification of Learning: A Meta-Analysis. *Educ. Psychol. Rev.* **2020**, *32*, 77–112. [**Lien**]
2. Dias, J. Teaching Operations Research to Undergraduate Management Students: The Role of Gamification. *Int. J. Manag. Educ.* **2017**, *15*, 98–111. [**Lien**]
3. Deterding, S.; Khaled, R.; Nacke, L.; Dixon, D. Gamification: Toward a Definition. In Proceedings of the Chi 2011 Gamification Workshop, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 7–12 May 2011; ACM Publication: New York, NY, USA, 2011; Volume 12. [**Lien**]
4. Behl, A.; Sheorey, P.; Pal, A.; Veetil, A.K.V.; Singh, S.R. Gamification in E- Commerce. *J. Electron. Commer. Organ.* **2020**, *18*, 1–16. [**Lien**]
5. Robson, K.; Plangger, K.; Kietzmann, J.H.; McCarthy, I.; Pitt, L. Game On: Engaging Customers and Employees through Gamification. *Bus. Horiz.* **2016**, *59*, 29–36. [**Lien**]
6. Sardi, L.; Idri, A.; Fernández-Alemán, J.L. A Systematic Review of Gamification in E-Health. *J. Biomed. Inform.* **2017**, *71*, 31–48. [**Lien**]
7. Larson, K. Serious Games and Gamification in the Corporate Training Environment: A Literature Review. *TechTrend* **2020**, *64*, 319–328. [**Lien**]
8. Alsawaier, R.S. The effect of gamification on motivation and engagement. *Int. J. Inf. Learn. Technol.* **2018**, *35*, 56–79. [**Lien**]
9. Trigueros, R.; Aguilar-Parra, J.M.; Lopez-Liria, R.; Cangas, A.J.; González, J.J.; Álvarez, J.F. The Role of Perception of Support in the Classroom on the Students' Motivation and Emotions: The Impact on Metacognition Strategies and Academic Performance in Math and English Classes. *Front. Psychol.* **2019**, *10*, 2794. [**Lien**]
10. Buckley, P.; Doyle, E. Gamification and student motivation. *Interact. Learn. Environ.* **2016**, *24*, 1162–1175. [**Lien**]
11. Fischer, C.; Malycha, C.; Schafmann, E. The Influence of Intrinsic Motivation and Synergistic Extrinsic Motivators on Creativity and Innovation. *Front. Psychol.* **2019**, *10*, 137. [**Lien**]
12. Deci, E.; Ryan, R. Optimizing Students' Motivation in the Era of Testing and Pressure: A Self-Determination Theory Perspective. *Build. Auton. Learn.* **2016**, 9–29. [**Lien**]
13. Trigueros, R.; Aguilar-Parra, J.M.; López-Liria, R.; Rocamora, P. The Dark Side of the Self-Determination Theory and Its Influence on the Emotional and Cognitive Processes of Students in Physical Education. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 4444. [**Lien**]
14. De-Marcos, L.; García-Cabot, A.; García-López, E. Towards the Social Gamification of e-Learning: A Practical Experiment. *IJEE* **2017**, *33*, 66–73. [**Lien**]
15. Marczewski, A. *Even Ninja Monkeys Like to Play: Gamification, Game Thinking and Motivational Design*; CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform: London, UK, 2015. [**Lien**]
16. Hunicke, R.; LeBlanc, M.; Zubek, R. MDA: A Formal Approach to Game Design and Game Research. In Proceedings of the Game Developers Conference, San Jose, CA, USA, 23 November 2004. [**Lien**]
17. Beemer, L.R.; Ajibewa, T.A.; DellaVecchia, G.; Hasson, R.E. A Pilot Intervention Using Gamification to Enhance Student Participation in Classroom Activity Breaks. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Heal.* **2019**, *16*, 4082. [**Lien**]
18. Fernández-Rio, J.; de las Heras, E.; González, T.; Trillo, V.; Palomares, J. Gamification and physical education. Viability and preliminary views from students and teachers. *Physical Educ. Sport Pedagog.* **2020**, *25*, 509–524. [**Lien**]
19. Tsai, C.-Y.; Lin, H.-S.; Liu, S. The Effect of Pedagogical GAME Model on students' PISA Scientific Competencies. *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.* **2019**, *36*, 359–369. [**Lien**]
20. Garcia-Cabot, A.; Garcia-Lopez, E.; Caro-Alvaro, S.; Gutierrez-Martinez, J.; De-Marcos, L. Measuring the effects on learning performance and engagement with a gamified social platform in an MSc program. *Comput. Appl. Eng. Educ.* **2019**, *28*, 207–223. [**Lien**]
21. Kyewski, E.; Krämer, N.C. To Gamify or Not to Gamify? An Experimental Field Study of the Influence of Badges on Motivation, Activity, and Performance in an Online Learning Course. *Comput. Educ.* **2018**, *118*, 25–37. [**Lien**]
22. Gatti, L.; Ulrich, M.; Seele, P. Education for sustainable development through business simulation games: An exploratory

study of sustainability gamification and its effects on students' learning outcomes. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2019**, *207*, 667–678. [**Lien**]
23. Campillo-Ferrer, J.-M.; Miralles-Martínez, P.; Sánchez-Ibáñez, R. Gamification in Higher Education: Impact on Student Motivation and the Acquisition of Social and Civic Key Competencies. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 4822. [**Lien**]
24. Mahmud, S.N.D.; Husnin, H.; Tuan Soh, T.M. Teaching Presence in Online Gamified Education for Sustainability Learning. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 3801. [**Lien**]
25. Huang, R.; Ritzhaupt, A.D.; Sommer, M.; Zhu, J.; Stephen, A.; Valle, N.; Hampton, J.; Li, J. The impact of gamification in educational settings on student learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.* **2020**, *68*, 1875–1901. [**Lien**]
26. Dicheva, D.; Dichev, C.; Agre, G.; Angelova, G. Gamification in education: A systematic mapping study. *Educ. Technol. Soc.* **2015**, *18*, 75–88. [**Lien**]
27. Webster, J.; Watson, R. Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Q.* **2002**, *26*. [**Lien**]
28. Úrrutia, G.; Bonfill, X. Declaración PRISMA: Una Propuesta para Mejorar La Publicación de Revisiones Sistemáticas y Metaanálisis. *Med. Clin.* **2010**, *135*, 507–511. [**Lien**]
29. Pedersen, M.K.; Skyum, B.; Heck, R.; Müller, R.; Bason, M.G.; Lieberoth, A.; Sherson, J.F. Virtual Learning Environment for Interactive Engagement with Advanced Quantum Mechanics. *Phys. Rev. Phys. Educ. Res.* **2016**, *12*. [**Lien**]
30. Naghavi, S.S.; Pourabbasi, A. Earthquake in the city: Using real life gamification model for teaching professional commitment in high school students. *J. Med. Ethics Hist. Med.* **2018**, *11*, 12. [**Lien**]
31. Sánchez-Martín, J.; Cañada-Cañada, F.; Dávila-Acedo, M.A. Just a Game? Gamifying a General Science Class at University. *Think. Ski. Creat.* **2017**, *26*, 51–59. [**Lien**]
32. Stansbury, J.A.; Earnest, D.R. Meaningful Gamification in an Industrial/Organizational Psychology Course. *Teach. Psychol.* **2016**, *44*, 38–45. [**Lien**]
33. Turan, Z.; Avinc, Z.; Kara, K.; Goktas, Y. Gamification and Education: Achievements, Cognitive Loads, and Views of Students. *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn. (IJET)* **2016**, *11*, 64–69. [**Lien**]
34. Floryan, M.; Ritterband, L.; Chow, P. Principles of gamification for internet interventions. *Transl. Behav. Med.* **2019**, *9*, 1131–1138. [**Lien**]
35. Santos-Villalba, M.J.; Leiva Olivencia, J.J.; Navas-Parejo, M.R.; Benítez-Márquez, M.D. Higher Education Students' Assessments towards Gamification and Sustainability: A Case Study. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 8513. [**Lien**]
36. Ouariachi, T.; Li, C.-Y.; Elving, W.J.L. Gamification Approaches for Education and Engagement on Pro-Environmental Behaviors: Searching for Best Practices. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 4565. [**Lien**]
37. Zhang, H.; Fang, L. Project-Based Learning for Statistical Literacy: A Gamification Approach. In *Digital Turn in Schools—Research, Policy, Practice. Lecture Notes in Educational Technology*; Väljataga, T., Laanpere, M., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2019. [**Lien**]
38. Sezgin, S.; Yüzer, T. Analysing adaptive gamification design principles for online courses. *Behav. Inf. Technol.* **2020**, *2020*, 1–17. [**Lien**]
39. Wouters, P., van Oostendorp, H., & van der Spek, E. D. (2013). A meta-analytic review of the role of instructional support in game-based learning. Computers & Education, 60(1), 412-425. [Lien]
40. Analysis Study, Berna Karakoç, Kevser Eryılmaz, Esen Turan Özpolat & İbrahim Yıldırım, "The Effect of Game-Based Learning on Student Achievement: A Meta-Technology, Knowledge and Learning volume 27, pages207–222 (2022) [Lien]
41. Pinter, R.; Maravic, S.; Balogh, Z.; Manojlovic, H. Enhcing Higher Education Students Class Attendance through Gamification. *Acta Polytech. Hung.* **2020**, *17*, 13–33. [**Lien**]
42. Sailer, M.; Hense, J.U.; Mayr, S.K.; Mandl, H. How Gamification Motivates: An Experimental Study of the Effects of Specific Game Design Elements on Psychological Need Satisfaction. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2017**, *69*, 371–380. [**Lien**]
43. Putz, L.-M.; Hofbauer, F.; Treiblmaier, H. Can Gamification Help to Improve Education? Findings from a Longitudinal Study. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2020**, *110*, 106392. [**Lien**]
44. Legaki, N.-Z.; Xi, N.; Hamari, J.; Karpouzis, K.; Assimakopoulos, V. The Effect of Challenge-Based Gamification on Learning: An Experiment in the Context of Statistics Education. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.* **2020**, *144*, 102496. [**Lien**]
45. Broer, J.; Breiter, A. Potentials of Gamification in Learning Management Systems: A Qualitative Evaluation. In *Computer Vision ECCV 2020*; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; Volume 9307, pp. 389–394. [**Lien**]
46. Nicholson, S. A Recipe for Meaningful Gamification. In *Gamification in Education and Business*; Springer Science and Business Media LLC: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; pp. 1–20. [**Lien**]
47. Argilés, F.T.; Chou, K.T. Actionable Gamification: Beyond Points, Badges and Leaderboards. *Rev. Int. Organ.* **2017**, *18*, 137–144. [**Lien**]
48. Zainuddin, Z.; Chu, S.K.W.; Shujahat, M.; Perera, C.J. The Impact of Gamification on Learning and Instruction: A Systematic Review of Empirical Evidence. *Educ. Res. Rev.* **2020**, *30*, 100326. [**Lien**]
49. Sánchez-Meca, J.; Botella, J. Revisiones Sistemáticas y Meta-Análisis: Herramientas para La Práctica Profesional. *Papeles Psicól.* **2010**, *31*, 7–17. [**Lien**]
50. Thornton, A.; Lee, P. Publication bias in meta-analysis: Its causes and consequences. *J. Clin. Epidemiology* **2000**, *53*, 207–216. [**Lien**]
51. Suh, A.; Cheung, C.M.; Ahuja, M.; Wagner, C. Gamification in the Workplace: The Central Role of the Aesthetic Experience. *J. Manag. Inf. Syst.* **2017**, *34*, 268–305. [**Lien**]
52. Kocadere, S.; Çaglar, S. Gamification from Player Type Perspective: A Case Study. *J. Educ. Technol. Soc.* **2018**, *21*, 12–22. [**Lien**]